## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	v. Raul Mariscal-Cacho Defendant	Case No. 1:19-cr-00092-RJJ		
	er conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, and and the detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require		
	Part I – Findings of	Fact		
<u> </u>	he defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S a federal offense a state or local offense that would xisted – that is			
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), which the prison term is 10 years or more.	or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for		
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or	ife imprisonment.		
_	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years	s or more is prescribed in:		
_	a felony committed after the defendant had been convict U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local of			
_	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:  a minor victim  the possession or use of a firearm or destruc	ctive device or any other dangerous weapon		
(2) T	a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250 he offense described in finding (1) was committed while the d	efendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state		
01	r local offense.			
	period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date ffense described in finding (1).	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the		
	indings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption tha erson or the community. I further find that defendant has not			
	Alternative Finding	js (A)		
(1) T	here is probable cause to believe that the defendant has com	mitted an offense		
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	prescribed in:*		
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). he defendant has not rebutted the presumption established b ill reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the saf			
<b>√</b> (1) T	Alternative Finding here is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	gs (B)		
	here is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the saf	ety of another person or the community.		
、 /	Part II – Statement of the Reas			
	d that the testimony and information submitted at the detention a preponderance of the evidence that:	on hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convincing		
1. Defenda	ant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest deter			
	ant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be released in ant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the cou			
	Part III – Directions Regarding Detention			

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	May 14, 2019	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	